pthayer@sidley.com AARON R. BLEHARSKI (SBN 240703) ableharski@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 SANDRA S. FUJIYAMA (SBN 198125) sfujiyama@sidley.com SAMUEL N. TIU (SBN 216291) stiu@sidley.com TASHICA T. WILLIAMS (SBN 256449) ttwilliams@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600 Tashile: (213) 896-6600	7)
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC.	
13 14	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18 GENENTECH, INC.,) Case No: 5:10-CV-2037-LHF	K (PSG)
Plaintiff,) SIXTH JOINT STIPULATION	AND
ORDER TO EXTEND THE DEADLINES FOR (A) COMPL	
20 vs. DEADLINES FOR (A) COMPL	JUKT'S
) WITH A PORTION OF THE CO 21 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF) NOVEMBER 22 ORDER AND (B) TO
) WITH A PORTION OF THE CO	B) TO
21 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, 22 Defendant.) WITH A PORTION OF THE CONVEMBER 22 ORDER AND (ORDER THE CONVENTE A	B) TO
21 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, (a) WITH A PORTION OF THE CONVEMBER 22 ORDER AND (MOVE TO RECONSIDER THE COURT'S ORDER (MOVE	(B) TO
21 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, 22 Defendant. Defendant.) WITH A PORTION OF THE CONVEMBER 22 ORDER AND (MOVE TO RECONSIDER THE COURT'S ORDER) Hearing Date: TBD Time: TBD	(B) TO
21 THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, 22 Defendant. Defendan	(B) TO
THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. De	(B) TO

WHEREAS on February 1, 2011, plaintiff Genentech, Inc. ("Genentech") and defendant the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania ("the University") filed a Fifth Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order to Extend the Deadline for Compliance with a portion of the Court's November 22 Order (Dkt. No. 87) from February 1, 2011 to February 15, 2011; and

WHEREAS the parties wanted the deadline extension to discuss whether there is a way to limit Genentech's production of regulatory materials, other than the BLA Submissions (as that term is used in the Court's November 22 Order), to avoid the production of irrelevant materials; and

WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to address the proper scope for production of the regulatory materials and believe that an additional extension would benefit their discussion; and

WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to arrange an appropriate manner in which to proceed with the production; and

WHEREAS, if the parties are unable to reach agreement, Genentech reserves the right to move for reconsideration of the Court's Order;

THE PARTIES THEREFORE AGREE as follows:

1. The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court's November 22, 2010 Order, now set for February 15, 2011, is extended an additional two weeks to March 1, 2011:

"In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so."

- 2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order, now set for February 15, 2011, is extended an additional two weeks to March 1, 2011.
- 3. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed.

Case 5:10-cv-02037-LHK Document 115 Filed 02/16/11 Page 3 of 4

1	SO STIPULATED:
2	
3	Respectfully submitted,
4	Dated: February 15, 2011 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
5	Dated: February 15, 2011 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
6	
7	By: /s/ M. PATRICIA THAYER
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC.
9	Attorneys for Frameni Gentlevi Eeri, nvc.
10	Dated: February 15, 2011 IRELL & MANELLA LLP
11	
12	By: /s/
13	GARY N. FRISCHLING ¹
14	Attorneys for Defendants THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	¹ Pursuant to General Order 45(X), the filer of this document hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from Gary N. Frischling.
28	document has been obtained from Gary N. Frisching.
	l

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court's 3 November 22, 2010 Order, now set for February 15, 2011, is extended an additional 4 two weeks to March 1, 2011: 5 "In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control 6 that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or 7 else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so." 8 2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order, 9 now set for February 15, is extended an additional two weeks to March 1, 2011. 10 3. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed. 11 12 SO ORDERED. 13 14 Dated: February 16, 2011 15 United States Magistrate Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ORDER RE JOINT STIPULATION CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)